

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/544,103 08/02/2005 7707 4662-53 Herfried Griengl 23117 7590 09/18/2007 **EXAMINER** NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC LILLING, HERBERT J 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1657 MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 09/18/2007 **PAPER** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/544,103	GRIENGL ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		HERBERT J. LILLING	1657	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period for Reply				
WHI0 - External after af	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING DATES IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timularly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 At</u>	ugust 2005		
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· <u> </u>			
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dianasit				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.			
<b>5</b> \_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
0)[2]	oraling) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or e		•	
Applicat	ion Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		ı	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
		•		
		1		
Attachmer	nt(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:			atent Application	
· — · —				

Art Unit: 1657

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of a preliminary amendment and a certified copy of foreign priority filed August 02, 2007 and a prior art information disclosure statement filed August 19, 2005.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are present in this for this Application which is a 371 OF PCT/EP/04/00859 filed January 30, 2004 which claims benefit to AUSTRIA A 285/2003 filed February 27, 2003.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Wherein the method is characterized that the use is made of the microorganism Rhodococcus erythropolis NCIMB 11540 in the form of:

- a. ground cells, immobilized cells, lyophilized cells, or resting cells;
- b. crude or purified enzymes or immobilized crude or purified enzymes;
- c. recombinant enzymes or immobilized recombinant enzymes;
- d. other-please specify.

. The species are independent or distinct because

Each of the above is considered to be distinct from each other, which require a different search for each of (a), (b) or (c) since the microorganism is classified separately from that of the enzyme(s) and the recombinant enzymes have their own classification from that of non-recombinant enzymes.

Page 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim one is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of a species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,103

Art Unit: 1657

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

Page 4

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record

that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Applicant should be aware of possible rejection of double patenting in view

of Serial Number 11/222020.

Also, Examiner has only found four references to patents relating to the

claimed microorganism, all related to the instant specification.

In another search of non-patent literature, it was noted the following:

"Rhodococcus sp. R312 is commercially available (CBS 717.73). Rhodococcus

erythropolis NCIMB 11540 was obtained from DSM Research, The Netherlands."

Application/Control Number: 10/544,103

Art Unit: 1657

Based on the information retrieved, it appears that Applicant <u>may be required to</u>

Page 5

<u>deposit the microorganism</u> to be enabling for one of ordinary skilled in the art to make

and use the instant inventions absent a showing that the claimed species is readily

available.

6. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to

determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the

specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918 and Fax Number is 571-273-8300 or SPE Jon Weber whose telephone number is 571-272-0925. Examiner can be reached Monday-Friday from about 7:30 A.M. to about 7:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0196.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (571) 272-0918 Art Unit <u>1657</u> August 30, 2007 Herbert J Billing

Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner Group 1600 Art Unit 1657